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Ethiopia’s agricultural sector, which is dominated by small-scale, mixed-crop, and livestock farming, is the mainstay of the country’s economy. It constitutes more than half of the country’s gross domestic product, generates more than 85 percent of foreign exchange earnings, and employs about 80 percent of the population. Unfortunately, Ethiopia’s dependence on agriculture makes the country particularly vulnerable to the adverse impacts of climate change on crop and livestock production. Thus, a deeper understanding of the complex interdependence between changing climatic conditions and Ethiopia’s agricultural sector—together with adaptation options—is crucial.

Additional information about farmers’ awareness of climate change and current adaptation approaches would assist policymakers in their efforts to decrease the country’s vulnerability to the adverse impacts of climate change. This brief is based on a study that endeavors to guide policymakers on ways to promote greater adaptation by identifying the household characteristics that increase farmers’ awareness of climate change and influence farmers’ decision to adapt.

ADAPTATION METHODS

The study uses a cross-sectional household survey of farmers conducted during the 2004/05 production year in the Nile Basin of Ethiopia. The survey asked farmers how they respond to the negative impacts of climate change. Use of different crop varieties was the most common response, whereas use of irrigation was the least cited among the five adaptation methods identified. About 42 percent of those surveyed reported that they did not employ any adaptation methods (Figure 1).

Farmers reported that the primary reasons for choosing not to adapt were lack of information on climate change impacts and adaptation options, lack of financial resources, labor constraints, and land shortages. Adaptation to climate change is both costly and labor intensive. Lack of financial resources keeps farmers from acquiring the necessary technologies to allow them to adapt. For instance, while the Nile Basin in Ethiopia is rich in water resources, farmers generally cannot afford to invest in irrigation technology that would allow them to adapt to climate change or sustain their livelihoods during climatic extremes, such as drought. Additionally, farmers are often unable to mobilize sufficient family labor or afford hired labor to make the necessary changes. Moreover, high population pressures force farmers to intensively farm small plots of land, making it difficult to adopt adaptation practices, such as planting trees, which require more land.

CLIMATE CHANGE AWARENESS AND ADAPTATION

The study uses two separate models to examine the factors influencing farmers’ decision to adapt to perceived climate changes. The models confirm that household wealth, represented by farm and nonfarm income and livestock ownership, increases the likelihood of climate change awareness and adaptation. Farmers are more likely to engage in soil conservation, use different crop varieties, and change planting dates as farm income...
increases. Nonfarm income increases the likelihood of planting trees, changing planting dates, and using irrigation as adaptation options. Additionally, ownership of livestock significantly increases the probability of adapting to climate change.

Household characteristics—including the level of education, sex and age of the household head, and household size—are found to increase the probability of adaptation. A higher level of education is associated with greater access to information on climate change, improved technologies, and higher productivity. The results show that education increases climate change awareness and the likelihood of soil conservation and changing planting dates as an adaptation method. Male-headed households are found to be more likely to adapt to climate change. Given that men do much of the agricultural work in Ethiopia, they are more likely to obtain information about and have access to new technologies, and to take greater risks than female-headed households. The age of the household head, which captures farming experience, also influences awareness of, and adaptation to, climate change. Similarly, larger households are more likely to adapt to perceived climate change, probably because they are often associated with a higher labor endowments.

Access to rural services, including credit, crop and livestock extension, and information about climate change, also increases the use of adaptation options. Availability of credit eases cash constraints and allows farmers to purchase inputs such as fertilizer, improved crop varieties, and irrigation facilities. The study confirms a positive relationship between the level of adoption and the availability of credit. In particular, access to credit increases the likelihood that farmers will employ soil conservation methods, change planting dates, and irrigate. Access to crop and livestock extension significantly increases the likelihood of adaptation, particularly the probability of planting trees. Information about climate change and appropriate adaptation methods also increases the likelihood of adaptation.

Social networks play distinct roles in adoption of agricultural technologies: they act as conduits for financial transfers that may ease farmers’ credit constraints, provide information about new technologies, and facilitate cooperation among farmers to allow the costs and benefits of adaptation to be shared. The study confirms that social networks—measured by the number of relatives living in the area and access to farmer-to-farmer extension—increases the likelihood of planting trees, changing planting dates, and using irrigation as adaptation options. Additionally, ownership of livestock significantly increases the probability of adapting to climate change.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Findings indicate that policymakers and other stakeholders would do well to raise awareness of climate change, facilitate the availability of credit, invest in technologies, create additional opportunities for off-farm employment, invest in research on the use of new crop varieties and livestock species that are more suited to drier conditions, encourage informal social networks, and invest in irrigation. Such measures would help farmers in the Nile Basin of Ethiopia to moderate the adverse consequences of climate change, while maintaining their livelihoods and food security.
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